

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CLERK USDG EDWI

## FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

<del>24 113\* + 1 -</del> P i: 56

TERRENCE FITCH,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 23-cv-0082

THE STATE OF WISCONSIN, et al.,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

## OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA

Being duly sworn under penalty of perjury deposes and says:

Terrence Fitch, in *Propria Persona*, Plaintiff and I make this herein Opposition Affidavit in Opposition to that Motion to Quash Subpoena,

#### **FACTS**

- On October 16, 2024, Plaintiff's process server served the Tonya Rosales and Joseph Lacroix hereafter "Defendants" a Subpoena requesting essential material evidence, documents and video footage which are vital to completing discovery.
- 2. As of present date and time the Defendants have refused to provide the requested material evidence.

### SUPPORTING ARGUMENT

3. Brady v Maryland 373 US 83 (1963)

The Court in *Brady* held that a prosecutor commits a Due Process violation, requiring reversal of a conviction, when it is shown that the prosecutor withheld favorable,

material evidence.

A criminal defendant has a constitutional right to disclosure of exculpatory evidence that is material to guilt or punishment.'

"Suppression by the prosecution of evidence favorable to an accused upon request violates Due Process where the evidence is material either to guilt or to punishment, irrespective of the good faith or bad faith of the prosecution."

# 4. Mooney V Holohan 294 US 103 (1935)

Non-disclosure by a prosecutor violates due process

5. United States v Blanco, 03-10390, (2004) US App Lexis 26815, 392 F 3d 382 (9 th Cir 2004)

Exculpatory evidence cannot be kept out of the hands of the defense just because the prosecutor does not have it...

The requested information is to impeach the STATES witness against the accused, to see if there is a TRUE witness against the accused.

Exculpatory evidence includes evidence reflecting on whether witnesses against the accused are credible, which might be used by the defendant's attorney at trial for purposes of impeachment.

Giglio v United States 450 US 150 (1972)

#### CONCLUSION

I am requesting this court to NOT quash the subpoena and compel the Defendants to provide the request documents per discovery. This court should compel the defendants to submit the requested evidence and respond to Plaintiff interrogatories.

Dated: 11-16-2029

STATE OF WISCONSIN

Terrence Fitch

Plaintiff Propria Personam

## **VERIFICATION**

(STATE of <u>Wis(Min</u> )	
(COUNTY of Zacine) ss.	
I, PRINT that I am named as the Plaintiff in the above-er information is true to his own knowledge, except on information and belief and as to those matters	ntitled proceeding and that the foregoing at as to matters herein stated to be alleged
A	Signature), Plaintiff, In Propria Persona.  Il Rights Reserved pursuant to ICC-1-308.
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged be	fore me
This 16th day of November,	
By Terrence Fitch	
Sworn to before me this Ward day of Warned	<u>2024</u>
Notary Public	Christine Alison Worth Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this Reply of Plaintiff Terrence Fitch was mailed first class USPS or submitted into the court record to the following parties, to wit:

Josh Kaul Gesina S Carson Assistant Attorney General State Bar # 1055162 PO BOX 7857 Madison WI 53707

Evan Goyke Maria Mesoloras Assistant City Attorney 200 East Wells Street Rm 800 Milwaukee, WI 53202 Email: mmesol@milwaukee.gov

William G. Davidson William G. Davidson, WI SBN 1097538 901 N. 9th Street, Room 303 Milwaukee, WI 53233 414-278-4300 William.Davidson@milwaukeecountywi.gov

Date: November 16th, 2024

Signature

Return All Replies To:

Terrence Fitch % PO BOX 81842 Racine, Wisconsin

FCM LETTER Consisting With Au 1501 W Johnson Ave Calcdonia wit 53405 RACINE, WI 53406 NOV 16, 2024 \$9.68 53202 9589 0710 5270 2104 9362 82 **RDC 99** Eastern District for Wisconsin Ave Rm 363 517 & Wiscolis in Ave Rm 363 



Retail

S2324d502363-15